## A DIRECT PROOF OF LEUTBECHER'S LEMMA

## by KLAUS WOHLFAHRT

(Received 23 December, 1970)

Using the theory of group extensions, A. Leutbecher [1] proved this

LEMMA. Let G be a group, and w some 2-cocycle of a trivial G-module M. The cohomology class of w will contain symmetric cocycles if and only if w is semisymmetric.

Here we have called w symmetric or semisymmetric according as w(h, g) = w(g, h) for all  $g, h \in G$  or only for those with hg = gh. In one direction, the proof reduces to observing that 2-coboundaries of trivial G-modules are semisymmetric. The nontrivial part of the lemma also admits of a straightforward proof, as follows.

If w is any cocycle of a trivial G-module, following H. Petersson [2, p. 47] we define, inductively for  $n \ge 2$ ,

$$w(g_1, \ldots, g_n, g_{n+1}) = w(g_1, \ldots, g_n) + w(g_1 g_2 \ldots g_n, g_{n+1})$$

for  $g_i \in G$   $(1 \le j \le n+1)$ . Then

$$w(g_1, \ldots, g_j, g_{j+1}, \ldots, g_n) = w(g_1, \ldots, g_j, g_{j+1}, \ldots, g_n) + w(g_j, g_{j+1}).$$

If, in particular,  $g_{j+1} = h^{-1}$  is inverse to  $g_j = h$ , and if e denotes the neutral element of G, we have

$$w(g_1, \ldots, h, h^{-1}, \ldots, g_n) = w(g_1, \ldots, e, \ldots, g_n) + w(h, h^{-1})$$
  
=  $w(g_1, \ldots, g_{j-1}, g_{j+2}, \ldots, g_n) + w(e, e) + w(h, h^{-1}),$ 

where we have used w(g, e) = w(e, g) = w(e, e)  $(g \in G)$ .

PROPOSITION 1. If w is semisymmetric and if f, g,  $h \in G$  are such that f commutes with  $h^{-1}g$ , then

$$w(h, f, h^{-1}) - w(h, h^{-1}) = w(g, f, g^{-1}) - w(g, g^{-1}).$$

Indeed, semisymmetry gives  $w(h^{-1}, g, f) = w(f, h^{-1}, g)$ , and so

$$w(h, f, h^{-1}) - w(h, h^{-1}) = w(h, h^{-1}gfg^{-1}h, h^{-1}) - w(h, h^{-1})$$

$$= w(h, h^{-1}, g, f, g^{-1}, h, h^{-1}) - w(h^{-1}, g, f, g^{-1}, h) - w(h, h^{-1})$$

$$= w(h, h^{-1}) + 2w(e, e) + w(g, f, g^{-1}) - w(f, h^{-1}, g, g^{-1}, h)$$

$$= w(a, f, a^{-1}) - w(a, a^{-1}).$$

where we have used  $w(h^{-1}, h) = w(h, h^{-1})$   $(h \in G)$ . Proposition 1 is thus established.

**PROPOSITION 2.** If w is semisymmetric, then there is a 1-cochain  $c: G \to M$  such that

$$c(hg)-c(gh)=w(h,g)-w(g,h) \qquad (g,h\in G).$$

To prove this we choose, using Zermelo's axiom, a representative in each class of

conjugate elements of G and then define, consistently according to Proposition 1, c on the class of  $f \in G$  by

$$c(gfg^{-1}) = w(g, f, g^{-1}) - w(g, g^{-1})$$
  $(g \in G)$ .

If  $g, h \in G$  are such that gh is in the class represented by f, we have

$$gh = ufu^{-1}$$
,  $hg = hufu^{-1}h^{-1}$ 

for some  $u \in G$ . Then

$$c(hg) - c(gh) = w(hu, f, u^{-1}h^{-1}) - w(hu, u^{-1}h^{-1}) - w(u, f, u^{-1}) + w(u, u^{-1})$$

$$= w(h, u, f, u^{-1}, h^{-1}) - w(h, u, u^{-1}, h^{-1}) - w(u, f, u^{-1}) + w(u, u^{-1})$$

$$= w(h, ufu^{-1}, h^{-1}) - w(h, h^{-1}) - w(e, e)$$

$$= w(h, g, h, h^{-1}) - w(g, h) - w(h, h^{-1}) - w(e, e)$$

$$= w(h, g) - w(g, h);$$

so Proposition 2 is proved.

To establish the lemma, we derive from c the 2-coboundary  $b = \partial c$ ,

$$b(g, h) = c(g) + c(h) - c(gh) \qquad (g, h \in G).$$

Then, by Proposition 2,

$$b(g, h) - b(h, g) = w(h, g) - w(g, h)$$
  $(g, h \in G),$ 

and w+b, which is cohomologous to w, will be symmetric.

## REFERENCES

- 1. A. Leutbecher, Über Automorphiefaktoren und die Dedekindschen Summen, Glasgow Math. J. 11 (1970), 41-57.
- 2. H. Petersson, Zur analytischen Theorie der Grenzkreisgruppen, Teil I, Math. Ann. 115 (1937), 23-67.

MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT HEIDELBERG GERMANY